Deloitte.

URL: http://www.deloitte-tax-news.de/german-tax-legal-news/private-home-of-co-shareholder-asthe-place-of-a-shareholders-meeting.html

16.06.2016

German Tax and Legal News

Private home of co-shareholder as the place of a shareholders' meeting

German Federal Supreme Court decides on validity of shareholders' resolutions adopted in private home of co-shareholder

Numerous stumbling blocks can emerge in shareholder disputes: The German Federal Supreme Court (BGH) recently had to rule about the effectiveness of a shareholder resolution adopted in the rooms of the private home of an opposing co-shareholder.

The BGH ruled that resolutions of a shareholders' meeting of a German Private Limited Company (GmbH) are erroneous and contestable if the shareholders' meeting was held within the rooms of a private home of an opposing co-shareholder.

First, the BGH pointed out that the shareholders are principally permitted to hold a shareholders' meeting at places other than the business premises of the company. This might be the case if the business premises are not reachable or suitable in order to hold a shareholders' meeting. Further, the shareholders' meeting may be held elsewhere if the company has a manageable amount of shareholders and the participation of the shareholders will not be impaired from the outset, because they will be able to reach the alternative meeting place easier than the company's business premises. But if the shareholders' meeting should take place within the rooms of the private home of an opposing shareholder, the other shareholders do no not have to accept the private home of the opposing co-shareholder as the place of the shareholders' meeting. The same shall apply if the shareholders' meeting is held within the offices of the attorney of the opposing co-shareholder. The BGH argues that in all these situations the respective shareholder is in an environment in which the (other) opposing co-shareholder in contrast to him is able to move and behave under familiar circumstances. Resolutions of the shareholders' meeting, which were adopted in the rooms of the private home of an opposing co-shareholder, are therefore erroneous and the other shareholders may file an application of annulment (Anfechtungsklage) against it within a period of one month with the court.

In the case at hand the BGH had further the opportunity to decide that the legal or statutory defined power of representation of the managing directors is not affected by the opening of insolvency proceedings with regard to the assets of the company. For example: If the directors are entitled to act jointly pursuant to their legal or statutory power of representation, this also applies within insolvency proceedings as well. In particular they are only entitled to file the application for the closing of the insolvency proceedings jointly. However, there is one exception from the foresaid principle: Irrespective of how the power of representation is defined by law or the articles of association of the company, each managing director may file the application for the opening of the insolvency proceedings solely.

(The case discussed was decided by the German Federal Supreme Court (BGH) on March 24, 2016, case reference IX ZB 32/15.)

Diese Mandanteninformation enthält ausschließlich allgemeine Informationen, die nicht geeignet sind, den besonderen Umständen eines Einzelfalles gerecht zu werden. Sie hat nicht den Sinn, Grundlage für wirtschaftliche oder sonstige Entscheidungen jedweder Art zu sein. Sie stellt keine Beratung, Auskunft oder ein rechtsverbindliches Angebot dar und ist auch nicht geeignet, eine persönliche Beratung zu ersetzen. Sollte jemand Entscheidungen jedweder Art auf Inhalte dieser Mandanteninformation oder Teile davon stützen, handelt dieser ausschließlich auf eigenes Risiko. Deloitte GmbH übernimmt keinerlei Garantie oder Gewährleistung noch haftet sie in irgendeiner anderen Weise für den Inhalt dieser Mandanteninformation. Aus diesem Grunde empfehlen wir stets, eine persönliche Beratung einzuholen.

This client information exclusively contains general information not suitable for addressing the particular circumstances of any individual case. Its purpose is not to be used as a basis for commercial decisions or decisions of any other kind. This client information does neither constitute any advice nor any legally binding information or offer and shall not be deemed suitable for substituting personal advice under any circumstances. Should you base decisions of any kind on the contents of this client information or extracts therefrom, you act solely at your own risk. Deloitte GmbH will not assume any guarantee nor warranty and will not be liable in any other form for the content of this client information. Therefore, we always recommend to obtain personal advice.